



Seminar Paper Evaluation

KS Climate Ethics

Student: ...

Research Question (20%)

- appropriate specificity (not too broad or narrow)
- interestingness
- (partly) philosophical content
- clarity of formulation
- originality
- explicit statement of research question in introduction
- explicit answer to research question in conclusion

Grade

Comments:

-

Arguments (40%)

- strength/validity of arguments
- accuracy of factual statements
- relevancy of arguments for research question
- coherency of argumentation
- understanding of material
- anticipation of objections
- appropriate pace
- originality and creativity
- consideration of relevant literature

Grade

Comments:

-

Language (10%)

- clarity of language
- definition of terms (where necessary)
- scientific/academic style
- simplicity of prose
- appropriate length of paragraphs
- general readability
- correct spelling, grammar and punctuation

Grade

Comments:

•

Structure (10%)

- introduction and conclusion sections
- main part divided into sections
- appropriate length of sections
- logical order of argumentation
- “sign posts” that make structure explicit

Grade

Comments:

•

Citation (10%)

- academic honesty
NOTE: wholesale plagiarism automatically leads to a negative evaluation of your paper
- clear differentiation between own ideas and those of others
- appropriateness of citation style
- consistency of citation style

Grade

Comments:

•

Overall Grade: